

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/014,392	VERBOOM, JOHANNES J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph D. Torres	2133	

All Participants:

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(2) Craig Lervick.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2004

Time: 8am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-31

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Craig Lervick on 12 August 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group III, claims 22-31 (Note: the Applicant elected claims 22-31, but the Examiner has now determined that claims 13-21 should be examined with claims 22-31, so that claims 13-31 will be examined in the current Application). .